IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

ROBERTA KARNOFSKY,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) C.A. No. 2:14-cv-949-PMD
MASSACHUSETTS MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, Defendant.)) (VERDICT FORM)))
WE, the jury, unanimously answ	ver (please print) the following questions regarding this
case:	
1. Do you find by a preponderance	of the evidence that the Plaintiff, Roberta Karnofsky,
was entitled to receive disability	benefits from Mass Mutual for the period of September
10, 2010, to July 11, 2011?	
Yes No	\nearrow
2. Do you find by a preponderance	of the evidence that Mass Mutual acted in bad faith in
the processing and handling of Ro	oberta Karnofsky's claims for disability payments?
Yes No	X
3. If the answer to Number 2 is YES	then answer questions 4 & 5. If the answer to Number
2 is NO, then go to Number 6.	
4. If the answer to Number 2 is YE	S, then do you find by a preponderance of evidence that
Mass Mutual caused the Plaintiff,	Roberta Karnofsky, to suffer emotional distress?
Yes No	

	If yes, what amount of emotional distress damages did the Plaintiff, Roberta Karnofsky,		
	prove by a preponderance of the evidence to be entitled to receive?		
	\$	_(numbers)	
	\$	_(words)	
5.	5. If the answer to Number 2 is YES, would you like to consider evidence of punitive		
	damages?		
	Yes	No	
6.	6. Based upon the above findings:		
A. We the jury find for the Plaintiff, Roberta Karnofsky, against Mass Mutual \$			
This th	ne 4_ day of November, 2016	5	
	B. We the jury find for t	he Defendant, Mass Mutual, in this case.	
Th	is the <u> </u>	2016	